

Comprehensive analysis of intensive silvopastoral systems (ISPS) in Colombia (case studies)

Ernesto Reyes Montoya agri benchmark



Cali, Colombia 09.10.2014

Assessment conducted by four institutions



Page 2 09.10.2014



Content

1. Developing the assessment

2. Methodological approach

3. Preliminary results

4. Conclusions and next steps

Page 3 09.10.2014



1. Developing the assessment

agri benchmark and FEDEGAN evaluated farms with the ISPS component (2010)

 A more complex approach was needed

The ISPS project was exploring for a monitoring system for evaluating results (2012-2013)

 agri benchmark, CIPAV, FEDEGAN and World Animal Protection explored possibilitites to provide assessment



- 2 regions
- 3 Prod. Systems
- Beef finishing
- Dual purpose
- Tropical dairy

Page 4 09.10.2014





1. Developing the assessment – Describing the regions



- 550 million hectares in Latin America (2007)
 27% of area
- 38 million hectares in Colombia

agri benchmark

ÜNEN





1. Developing the assessment – Describing the regions



Page 6 09.10.2014

Ernesto Reyes M. Comprehensive analysis of SPS



agri benchmark

ÜNFN



1. Developing the assesment

2. Methodological approach

Page 7 09.10.2014



2. Methodological approach



 Page 8
 Ernesto Reyes M.

 09.10.2014
 Comprehensive analysis of SPS

2. Methodological approach



2. Methodological approach – Challenges

- a. Conventional grazing vs. SPS grazing
- b. Overlapping animal production on conventional and SPS
- c. Balancing: feed requirements and rations, # of animals and forage + grass production
- d. Agroforestal production (timber)

Page 10 09.10.2014



2. Methodological approach – Challenges



Page 11Ernesto Reyes M.09.10.2014Comprehensive analysis of SPS



2. Methodological approach - Challenges

3 Land use -	Conve	entional grazing	(No. Of has.)	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Barbecho										
Pastoreo	132	122	112	92	72	52	32	12		0
Zonas non-productivas	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	57	57
Camino y instalaciones	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
SSP Graminea (Gui SP	Sadopted (No. Of	has) 10	20	40	60	80	100	120	140	140
SSP (Leucaena)		10	20	40	60	80	100	120	140	140
SSP Arboles ano 1		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
SSP Arboles ano 2			10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
SSP Arboles ano 3				10	10	10	10	10	10	10
SSP Arboles ano 4					10	10	10	10	10	10
SSP Arboles ano 5						10	10	10	10	10
SSP Arboles ano 6				Timber pla	anted (No. Of has.)		10	10	10	10
SSP Arboles ano 7			l l			_		10	10	10
SSP Arboles ano 8									<u>1</u> 0	10
4 Crop Yield in Fresh Matter										
	Convent	ional (tons/ha)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Barbecho	convent	ional (consyna)			<u> </u>			<u> </u>	¹	
Pastoreo	18,89	18,89	18,89	18,89	18,89	18,89	18,89	18,89		
Zonas non-productivas										
Camino y instalaciones										
SSP Graminea (Guinea)		59,50	59,50	59,50	59,50	59,50	59,50	59,50	59,50	59,50
SSP (Leucaena)		53,20	53,20	53,20	53,20	53,20	53,20	53,20	53,20	53,20
SSP Arboles ano 1		ISDS (tops)	(ha)				17,70		28,30	
SSP Arboles ano 2		ISPS (tons/	TId)					17,70		28,30
SSP Arboles ano 3	_					TIME	BER (tons/ha)		17,70	
SSP Arboles ano 4	_									17,70
SSP Arboles ano 5	_									
SSP Arboles and 6										
SSP Arboles and 7	-									
SSP Ardoles and 8										
Page 12	Ernesto Reve	es M.		WORLD			<u> </u>			
09.10.2014	Comprehens	ive analysis o	of SPS		AL FEDE	GAN		gri benchma	ark 🚺 🔤	HÜNEN

/PA

2. Methodological approach – animal welfare



Animal welfare field assessment protocol

Page 13Ernesto Reyes M.09.10.2014Comprehensive analysis of SPS

Measured welfare potential (resources) + welfare outcomes

- Physical / health and behaviour
- Body condition
- Tick count
- Presence of injury/disease/lameness
- Heat stress
- Water and feed quality and availability
- Natural behaviour (forage, exercise, rest)
- Access to shade at hottest part of day
- Fearfulness / ease of approach (relevant to handling)



2. Methodological approach – animal welfare



Measures from farm records

Therapeutic or prophylactic use of antibiotics or other antimicrobials or anti-parasitic drugs

Mastitis and lameness

Calving interval and fertility, calving rate



Ernesto Reyes M. Comprehensive analysis of SPS



Record if data collected where appropriate for herd.

- Mortality rate
- Weight change per month per animal
- Milk yield per animal per day
- Use of painful treatments such as castration, tail-docking, de-horning, disbudding, hot-iron branding

Content

1. Developing the assesment

2. Methodological approach

3. Preliminary results

Page 15 09.10.2014



3. Preliminary results – Main changes in farm production

	La Luisa Beef finishing	Petequi Dual purpose	Hatico Tropical dairy
Number of has. on conventional grazing Year 0 - baseline	132	30	135
Number of has. In SPS year 5	80	14	50
Number of has. In SPS year 10	140	14	94
Number of adult animals/year year 0 - baseline	71*	35	230
Number of adult animals year 10	▼ 710*	↓ 58	▼ 307
Yield/animal baseline	from 180 to 450 kg beef in <mark>2,0 years</mark>	2.346 kg Milk per cow/year	2.644 kg milk per cow/year
Yield/animal year 10	from 180 to 450 kg beef in 1,2 years	3.084 kg milk per cow/year	3.010 kg milk per cow/year
* Animals sold/year			

Page 16 09.10.2014



3. Preliminary results – Feed production and land productivity



Page 17 09.10.2014



3. Preliminary results – Cost and profit



agri benchmark

NEN

Calculations include interest on liabilities and exclude interest on savings

Page 18Ernesto Reyes M.09.10.2014Comprehensive analysis of SPS

3. Preliminary results – Profit – 10 years



Ernesto Reyes M. Comprehensive analysis of SPS 09.10.2014

Page 19



3. Preliminary results – Profit + timber production

La Luisa – Beef finishing + Eucalyptus



Calculations include interest on liabilities and exclude interest on savings

Page 20Ernesto Reyes M.09.10.2014Comprehensive analysis of SPS



3. Preliminary results – Animal welfare

Farm	Feeding	Breed	Environment	Behaviour	Health
EI	Good quality, green forage eg	Breed suited to local	Good space in paddocks	Freedom of movement	Bright, alert and responsive
Hatico	Leucaena	environment – Lucerna (an	Trees and shrubs - shade for	Could exhibit natural behaviour:	Body condition ranged from 3-4
Cauco,	Clean water available ad	indigenous breed)	all animals at all times of the	grazing, walking, lying,	(average 3.5)
Cauca	libitum.	Animals were selected for	day	ruminating, positively interact	Healthy and not lame
valley	Max distance to water: 150m	short hair, good walking ability,	Dry, comfortable areas for	with other animals Animals could	No signs of heat stress
		compact size and good lifetime	lying	choose their environment	80% had small population of
		yield.		Animals were calm,, no fearful	ticks
				response.	
				Flight zone: 0-2 metres	
Petequi,	Good quality, green forage eg	Animals suited to the local	Good space in paddocks	Freedom of movement	Bright, alert and responsive
Cauca	Leucaena	environment – dairy cross	Trees and shrubs provided	Could exhibit natural behaviour:	Body condition 3-4 (average 3.5)
vallov	Clean water available ad	breed	sufficient shade for all	grazing, walking, lying,	Healthy and not lame
valley	libitum.	Some Holstein genetics less	animals at all times of the	ruminating, positively interact	Small no. animals with slight
	Max distance to water: 150m	suited to the high temperature	day	with other animals Animals could	signs of heat stress at hottest
			Dry, comfortable areas for	choose their environment	time of the day
			lying	Animals were calm,, no fearful	50% had moderate tick
				response	infestation.
				Flight zone: 0-3 metres	
La	Good quality, green forage eg	Animals were suited to the	Good space in paddocks	Freedom of movement	Bright, alert and responsive
Luisa,	Leucaena	local environment – beef cattle	Trees, shrubs provided	Could exhibit natural behaviour	Body condition 3-4 (average 3.5)
Cosar	Clean water available ad	cross	sufficient shade for all	Positive interactions with other	Healthy and not lame
Vallass	libitum.		animals at all times of the	animals	No signs of heat stress
valley	Max distance to water: 250m		day.	Opportunity to choose natural	V low presence of flies, ticks
			Dry, comfortable areas for	environment	
			lying	Calm, no fearful response. Flight	
-				zone: 0-2 metres	
Control	Medium quality forage to meet	Animals suited to local	Good space in paddock	Freedom of movement and	Bright, alert and responsive
farm,	most but not all nutritional	environment	Few trees or other shade	could exhibit natural behaviour	Body condition 2-2.5 (average
Cesar			provision	including grazing, walking, lying,	2.5)
vallov	water ad libitum but not clean		Dry, comfortable lying areas.	ruminating, positively interact	very low presence of flies.
valley	or tresh				
				opportunity to choose natural	
				Environment (I.e. Snade)	
				Fearrui – flight zone 8 metres	

Page 21Ernesto Reyes M.09.10.2014Comprehensive analysis of SPS





THÜNEN

3. Preliminary results – Environmental

- CO₂ Emissions are being calculated (Enteric а. fermentation, manure and feed). Preliminary results under revision (applying regional coeficients).
- b. Carbon sequestration not yet reflected on the study. Carbon seq. Scenarios will be applied.
- Water use (animal requirements) is being С. calculated. Preliminar results under revision
- d. Soil quality (organic matter)
- **Biodiversity** e.

Page 22

Ernesto Reyes M. Comprehensive analysis of SPS 09.10.2014

Organic Matter in Soil (T C Ha-1)

iSPS	Control
127	110

Bio-diversity

	iSPS	IP
Bird richness	45	28
Dung Beetle	8	5
Ant richness	123	55



Content

1. Developing the assesment

2. Methodological approach

3. Preliminary results

4. Conclusions and next steps

Page 23 09.10.2014



4. Preliminary conclusions

- Results provide evidence for the ability of SPS to create 'triple-win' solutions: (a) Productivity and profitability gains (b) Environmental improvement © Animal welfare benefits
- 2. The overall uptake of SPS has been limited by the level of investments, access to capital, and investment risk.
- 3. As intensive SPS are management-intensive, capacity building (advisory services) is a key component of successful delivery.
- 4. Targeted investment early in establishment of SPS, and an effective capacity building program, can provide increased potential for success.
- 5. The benefits from such investment are clear and this is an area where international and local policy mechanisms, donors and governments can play a crucial role

Page 24 09.10.2014





- 1. In order to better define critical periods and main cash flow needs, a detailed analysis of level of investment is required, as well as for risk evaluation.
- 2. At local level, will be necessary to increase coverage of case studies (SPS Colombian project), where regional and production system differences and farmers reactions, can be measured and illustrated when adopting SPS.
- 3. It will be also essential to analyze the impact of financial and incentive measures, when adopting SPS (e.g. SPS Colombian projects).
- 4. At regional level (L. America), would also be important to increase coverage of analysis in order to compare other approaches of SPS (e.g. timber + livestock).

Page 25 09.10.2014



Thanks...

Ernesto Reyes – agri benchmark Livestock Systems Manager ernesto.reyes@telefónica.net

agri benchmark

THÜNEN

agri benchmark Farm workers Fernando Uribe – CIPAV Luis Solarte – CIPAV Harold Niño – Advisor La Luisa Leonardo Manzano – Advisor La Luisa Agricultural Product Molina's family – El Hatico Lola Izquierdo – agri benchmark **FEDEGAN** Colombian Cattle Ra Economic studies U **World Animal Protection**

Pictures have been taking during the field visits/CIPAV's file

Page 26 09.10.2014

